

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CABN 163973)  
Acting United States Attorney

IOANA PETROU (CABN 170834)  
JONATHAN D. SCHMIDT (CABN 230646)  
Assistant United States Attorneys

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
San Francisco, California 94102  
Telephone: (415) 436-7189  
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234  
[ioana.petrou@usdoj.gov](mailto:ioana.petrou@usdoj.gov)  
[jonathan.schmidt@usdoj.gov](mailto:jonathan.schmidt@usdoj.gov)

SONDRA L. MILLS (DCBN 367463)  
ALLAN GORDUS (MOBN 48210)  
Trial Attorneys

DOJ Office of Consumer Litigation  
Liberty Square Building  
450 5<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Sixth Floor South  
Telephone: (202) 616-2375  
FAX: (202) 514-8742  
[sondra.mills@usdoj.gov](mailto:sondra.mills@usdoj.gov)  
[allan.gordus@usdoj.gov](mailto:allan.gordus@usdoj.gov)

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	CR No. 08-0164 MHP
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	STIPULATION AND <del>[PROPOSED]</del> ORDER
	)	EXCLUDING TIME
v.	)	
	)	
W. SCOTT HARKONEN,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
_____	)	

On September 15, 2008, the parties in this case appeared before the Honorable District Court Judge Marilyn H. Patel for further status conference. The parties stipulated that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from September 15, 2008 to March 5, 2009, at which time the parties are scheduled to appear for a hearing on first round pretrial

1 motions.

2 The parties represented that granting the continuance was necessary for effective preparation  
3 of counsel given the complexity of the case, nature of the prosecution, and voluminous  
4 discovery, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A)) and  
5 (B)(ii).

6 SO STIPULATED:

7 BRIAN J. STRETCH  
8 Acting United States Attorney

9 DATED: September 15, 2008

10 /s/  
11 IOANA PETROU  
12 Assistant United States Attorney

13 DATED: September 15, 2008

14 /s/  
15 WILLIAM M. GOODMAN  
16 Attorney for W. Scott Harkonen

17 As the Court found on September 15, 2008, and for the reasons stated above, the Court  
18 finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public  
19 and the defendant in a speedy trial and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act  
20 calculations from September 15, 2008 to March 5, 2009 for effective preparation of counsel.  
21 See 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny  
22 counsel reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the complexity  
23 of the case and nature of the prosecution, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. See 18  
24 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(B)(ii).

25 SO ORDERED.

26 DATED: 9/18/2008

